

Influence of Cultivar on Lemon Oil Composition

A. Verzera* and C. Russo

Istituto di Industrie Agrarie, Facoltà di Agraria, Università di Catania,
Via S. Sofia 98, I-95124 Catania, Italy

G La Rosa

Istituto di Coltivazioni arboree, Facoltà di Agraria, Università di Catania, Via Val di Savoia, I-95124 Catania, Italy

I. Bonaccorsi and A. Cotroneo

Dipartimento Farmaco-chimico, Facoltà di Farmacia, Università di Messina, SS. Annunziata, I-98161, Messina, Italy

Abstract

In order to evaluate the differences in the qualitative and quantitative composition of lemon essential oils obtained from different lemon cultivars, the oils were analyzed by HRGC and HRGC/MS. The components were identified in each oil on polar and apolar capillary columns. The samples analyzed were obtained from lemon plants cultivated in Sicily in an experimental field. The selection reflected the diversity of these cultivars in the Italian productive areas.

The results obtained are informative of the different oils quality and explain the variation of the Italian industrial lemon essential oil composition.

Key Word Index

Citrus limon, Rutaceae; lemon cultivars, essential oil composition, limonene, γ -terpinene.

Introduction

In Italy, the highest density of lemon cultivation is found in Sicily and Calabria. In particular Sicily produces over 90% of Italian lemon, gaining a quasi-monopoly of the production. The main productive areas are in the vicinity of Catania, Palermo, Siracusa, and Messina. It has been observed that in each small Italian lemon productive area, there are different cultivars while some specific cultivars are predominant in other countries such as "Fino" and "Verna" in Spain, "Eureka" and "Lisbon" in the US., etc. "Malsecco", caused by the fungus *Phoma tracheiphila*, and which locates in the wooden part of the lemon tree, has forced Italian producers and researchers to select different clones, for their resistance to the fungus.

The most common cultivars present in the Sicilian areas are "Femminello" which represents 84% of the whole lemon production, "Monachello" and "Interdonato". "Femminello" is a very heterogeneous cultivar giving rise to a large number of clones. These clones differ in plant development, productivity, fruit shape, resistance to "malsecco", etc. (1,2).

The data reported in literature do not refer to the influence of each cultivar on the composition of Italian lemon essential oils, with the exception of some papers published in the 1960s and 1970s (3-4), and some specific papers on

experimental cultivars not representative of the real cultivation panorama (5-7). A great deal of information is, otherwise, available on the composition of industrially produced lemon oil (8-10).

Given the large number of cultivars, it was necessary to carry out a study on the composition of lemon oil obtained from different cultivars representative of the current reality of the Sicilian production, in order to evaluate the dependency of oil quality on cultivar.

Experimental

This study was carried out on 18 samples of laboratory extracted Sicilian lemon oils obtained from fruits harvested in February 1999 in an experimental field of the "Istituto di Coltivazione Arborea" of the University of Catania, situated in the South-East part of the province of Catania (Sicily, Italy). The fruits were picked in February since the Italian winter lemon fruits give the most valuable oils. All the fruits were collected in the same experimental field in order to avoid possible differentiation due to production area. The oils analyzed belonged to the following cultivars: "Femminello siracusano", "Femminello S. Teresa", "Femminello Continella", "Femminello Dosaco", "Femminello fior d'arancio",

*Address for correspondence

Received: February 2000

Revised: July 2000

Accepted: July 2000

“Femminello incappucciato”, “Monachello”, “Interdonato” and “Fino”. The cultivars analyzed represented about 90% of the plants grown in Sicily. “Fino” is a Spanish cultivar, which has been recently introduced in Italy. Two samples of each cultivar were analyzed. Each sample was obtained from the peel of 10 fruits. The oils were isolated in the laboratory by applying manual pressure on the rind thereby breaking of the utricles and release of the oil which was collected on a watch glass, transferred to a test tube, centrifuged and analyzed. The qualitative and quantitative volatile fraction composition was studied by HRGC and HRGC/MS.

HRGC Analysis

Each oil was analyzed by HRGC on a Gas Chromatograph 5160 Mega series (Fisons Instrument, Milan, Italy) equipped with a Shimadzu data processor C-R3A using the following experimental conditions: SE-52 fused silica capillary column, 30 m x 0.32 mm; 0.40-0.45 μ m, film thickness (Mega, Legnano (MI), Italy); column temperature, 45°C (6 min) to 250°C at 3°C/min; injection mode, split; split ratio, 1:100; detector, FID; injector and detector temperature, 250°C; carrier gas, He 100 kPa; injected volume, 1 μ L of net whole oil. The quantitative composition was obtained by peak area normalization and the response factor for each component was considered equal to one.

HRGC-MS Analysis

Samples were analyzed by HRGC/MS (EI) on a Fisons MD 800 (Fisons Instrument, Milan, Italy) system coupled with Adams' library (11). Two different columns were used: 1) Mega 5 MS fused silica capillary column, 30 m x 0.25 μ m i.d.; 0.25 mm, film thickness (Mega, Legnano (MI) Italy); carrier gas, He, 90 kPa; linear velocity, 42.7 cm/sec at 40°C; column temperature, 40°C (2 min) to 240°C at 3.0°C/min. 2) Megawax fused silica capillary column, 30 m x 0.32 mm; 0.40-0.45 μ m, film thickness (Mega, Legnano (MI), Italy); carrier gas, He 90 kPa; linear velocity, 34.7 cm/sec at 40°C; column temperature, 40°C (6 min) to 220°C at 2°C/min. For both columns: injector temperature, 250°C; injection mode, split; split ratio, 1:30; volume injected, 1 μ L of a solution 1:100 in pentane of the oil; interface temperature, 250°C; acquisition mass range, 41-300 amu; solvent cut, 2 min.

Linear retention indices of the sample components were determined on the basis of homologue n-alkane hydrocarbons analyzed under the same GC conditions. The compound identification was confirmed by comparison of mass spectra of compounds with published spectra and of retention indices with published index data.

Results and Discussion

Sixty-seven components were identified in each oil. The components identified and their linear retention indices calculated on Mega 5 MS and Megawax can be seen in Table I.

For each sample, the quantitative composition (as a relative percentage of peak area) for each component, as well as the total amount of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes hydrocarbons, carbonyl compounds, alcohols and esters was calcu-

Table I. Linear retention indices calculated on Mega 5MS and Megawax capillary columns for the components identified in the lemon oils analyzed

Compound	Linear retention indices	
	Mega 5MS	Megawax
tricyclene	913	-
α -thujene	920	1012
α -pinene	925	1008
camphene	936	1043
sabinene	964	1105
β -pinene	964	1085
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	981	-
myrcene	987	1152
α -phellandrene	997	1146
octanal	997	1270
δ -3-carene	1002	1128
α -terpinene	1009	1160
p-cymene	1016	-
limonene	1021	1181
(Z)- β -ocimene	1036	-
(E)- β -ocimene	1045	1239
γ -terpinene	1051	1225
cis-sabinene hydrate	1058	1447
octanol	1071	1546
terpinolene	1079	1260
trans-sabinene hydrate	1088	-
linalol	1094	1537
nonanal	1098	1372
heptyl acetate	1111	-
cis-limonene oxide	1122	1403
trans-limonene oxide	1127	1423
camphor	1127	-
citronellal	1146	1457
borneol	1152	-
terpinen-4-ol	1165	1575
α -terpineol	1179	1670
decanal	1199	1476
octyl acetate	1210	1461
nerol	1222	1830
citronellol	1222	-
carvone	1229	1684
neral	1231	1645
piperitone	1239	-
geraniol	1251	1783
perillaaldehyde	1257	1729
geranial	1262	1697
bornyl acetate	1275	-
undecanal	1300	1580
nonyl acetate	1308	-
methylgeranate	1316	-
citronellyl acetate	1349	-
neryl acetate	1360	1705
geranyl acetate	1379	1738
dodecanal	1402	-
decyl acetate	1404	1662
β -caryophyllene	1404	1555
trans- α -bergamottene	1427	1560
α -humulene	1437	1624
β -santalene	1449	-
(Z)- β -farnesene	1452	1649
germacrene D	1466	1664
γ -muurolene	1469	-
valencene	1479	-
bicyclogermacrene	1482	1688
(Z)- α -bisabolene	1495	-
β -bisabolene	1500	1701
(E)- α -bisabolene	1504	-
tetradecanal	1605	-
2,3-dimethyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-		
2-norbornanol	1638	-
campherenol	1654	-
β -bisabolol	1657	-
nootkatone	1780	243

Lemon Oil Composition

Table II. Percentage composition (mean values X with standard deviations s) as single compounds and as classes of substances for the different lemon cultivar oils analyzed

	Cultivars			
	Feminello siracusano	Feminello continella	Feminello S. Teresa	Feminello fior d'arancio
tricyclene	0,03	t	t	t
α -thujene	0,32	0,33	0,28	0,29
α -pinene	1,38	1,50	1,18	1,21
camphene	0,04	0,04	0,03	0,03
sabinene + β -pinene	8,48	8,49	8,50	8,52
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	t	t	t	t
myrcene	1,72	1,75	1,54	1,62
octanal	0,04	0,03	0,03	0,04
α -phellandrene	0,06	0,06	0,12	0,04
δ -3-carene	t	t	t	t
α -terpinene	0,19	0,16	0,19	0,17
p-cymene	0,04	0,02	0,04	0,06
limonene	72,23	75,99	69,58	72,38
(Z)- β -ocimene	0,06	0,04	0,05	0,03
(E)- β -ocimene	0,12	0,08	0,11	0,07
γ -terpinene	9,27	7,42	9,43	8,70
cis-sabinene hydrate	0,07	0,05	0,07	0,07
octanol	t	t	t	t
terpinolene	0,42	0,32	0,42	0,39
trans-sabinene hydrate	0,07	0,04	0,10	0,06
linalool	0,17	0,13	0,20	0,18
nonanal	0,06	0,05	0,11	0,05
heptyl acetate	t	t	t	t
cis-limonene oxide	t	t	t	t
trans-limonene oxide	t	t	t	t
camphor	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
citronellal	0,15	0,08	0,18	0,21
borneol	0,01	0,01	0,03	0,02
terpinen-4-ol	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,03
α -terpineol	0,26	0,16	0,32	0,26
decanal	0,04	0,04	0,06	0,04
octyl acetate	t	t	t	t
nerol+ citronellol	0,03	0,02	0,07	0,05
neral + carvone	0,92	0,48	1,72	1,06
piperitone	t	t	t	t
geraniol	0,02	0,01	0,04	0,03
geranial + perillaldehyde	1,51	0,78	2,86	1,76
bornyl acetate	t	0,01	0,01	0,01
undecanal	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,02
nonyl acetate	t	t	t	t
methylgeranate	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
citronellyl acetate	0,04	0,03	0,03	0,06
neryl acetate	0,30	0,31	0,46	0,53
geranyl acetate	0,22	0,17	0,31	0,35
dodecanal	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
decyl acetate	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,02
β -caryophyllene	0,22	0,16	0,23	0,31
trans- α -bergamotene	0,40	0,32	0,48	0,31
α -humulene	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,03
(E)- β -farnesene	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,03
β -santalene	0,02	0,01	0,02	0,01
γ -muurolene	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
germacrene D	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
valencene	0,02	0,01	0,02	0,02
bicyclogermacrene	0,07	0,04	0,05	0,09
(Z)- α -bisabolene	0,04	0,04	0,05	0,03
β -bisabolene	0,58	0,47	0,71	0,46
(E)- α -bisabolene	0,02	0,01	0,02	0,01
tetradecanal	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02
2,3-dimethyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)- 2-norbornanol	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,02
campherenol	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,02
α -bisabolol	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,02
nootkatone	t	t	t	t
hydrocarbons	95,77	97,36	93,15	94,85
monoterpenes	94,32	96,22	91,47	93,52
sesquiterpenes	1,45	1,14	1,68	1,34
oxygenated compounds	4,07	2,55	6,80	4,94
alcohols	0,73	0,51	0,94	0,76
carbonyl compounds	2,75	1,50	5,01	3,21
esters	0,59	0,55	0,84	0,96

Table II. Continued

	Cultivars				
	Feminello Dosaco	Feminello incappucciato	Monachello	Interdonato	Fino
tricyclene	t	t	t	t	t
α -thujene	0,27	0,26	0,39	0,33	0,29
α -pinene	1,12	1,13	1,51	1,41	1,44
camphene	0,03	0,03	0,04	0,04	0,04
sabinene + β -pinene	6,66	8,33	9,77	8,44	10,81
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	t	t	t	t	t
myrcene	1,65	1,45	1,52	1,54	1,60
octanal	0,03	0,04	0,04	0,03	0,03
α -phellandrene	0,07	0,10	0,09	0,03	0,11
δ -3-carene	t	0,01	t	t	t
α -terpinene	0,17	0,19	0,23	0,20	0,15
p-cymene	0,05	0,08	0,04	0,04	0,06
limonene	74,34	65,96	67,01	70,20	70,95
(Z)- β -ocimene	0,04	0,04	0,07	0,20	0,03
(E)- β -ocimene	0,08	0,10	0,13	0,29	0,07
γ -terpinene	8,55	9,82	11,11	9,61	7,16
cis-sabinene hydrate	0,06	0,08	0,08	0,06	0,07
octanol	t	t	t	t	t
terpinolene	0,39	0,46	0,48	0,42	0,31
trans-sabinene hydrate	0,07	0,09	0,10	0,05	0,06
linalool	0,18	0,22	0,14	0,13	0,15
nonanal	0,06	0,11	0,12	0,01	0,09
heptyl acetate	t	t	t	t	t
cis-limonene oxide	t	t	t	t	t
trans-limonene oxide	t	0,01	t	t	t
camphor	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	t
citronellal	0,17	0,33	0,10	0,07	0,19
borneol	0,02	0,03	0,02	0,01	0,02
terpinen-4-ol	0,03	0,04	0,03	0,03	0,02
α -terpineol	0,26	0,37	0,31	0,21	0,24
decanal	0,05	0,08	0,22	0,02	0,05
octyl acetate	t	t	t	t	t
nerol + citronellol	0,04	0,07	0,03	0,01	0,03
neral + carvone	1,05	2,47	1,44	0,41	1,34
piperitone	t	t	t	t	t
geraniol	0,02	0,05	0,05	0,01	0,03
geranial + perillaaldehyde	1,74	4,16	2,42	0,68	2,20
bornyl acetate	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02	t
undecanal	0,02	0,04	0,02	0,01	0,02
nonyl acetate	t	t	t	t	t
methylgeranate	0,01	0,01	t	0,02	t
citronellyl acetate	0,05	0,06	0,02	0,06	0,04
neryl acetate	0,42	0,60	0,39	0,38	0,40
geranyl acetate	0,34	0,45	0,54	0,49	0,31
dodecanal	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,01
decyl acetate	0,03	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,03
β -caryophyllene	0,25	0,44	0,28	0,35	0,17
trans- α -bergamotene	0,45	0,62	0,42	0,61	0,38
α -humulene	0,02	0,04	0,03	0,03	0,02
(E)- β -farnesene	0,04	0,06	0,04	0,05	0,03
β -santalene	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,01
γ -muurolene	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01
germacrene D	0,01	0,01	0,01	t	0,02
valencene	0,01	0,06	0,01	0,03	0,01
bicyclogermacrene	0,05	0,11	0,02	0,02	0,05
(Z)- α -bisabolene	0,05	0,07	0,05	0,06	0,04
β -bisabolene	0,67	0,91	0,63	0,88	0,54
(E)- α -bisabolene	0,02	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,02
tetradecanal	0,01	0,02	0,01	0,05	0,01
2,3-dimethyl-3-(4-methyl- 3-pentenyl)-2-norbomanol	0,02	0,03	0,02	0,03	0,02
campherenol	0,03	0,04	0,03	0,04	0,02
α -bisabolol	0,03	0,05	0,03	0,04	0,02
nootkatone	t	0,01	t	t	t
hydrocarbons	95,04	90,38	93,95	96,27	94,33
monoterpenes	93,42	87,97	92,40	94,15	93,03
sesquiterpenes	1,62	2,42	1,55	2,12	1,31
oxygenated compounds	4,74	9,49	6,18	2,90	5,41
carbonyl compounds	3,14	7,25	4,38	1,31	3,95
esters	0,85	1,16	0,98	1,02	0,78
alcohols	0,75	1,07	0,83	0,58	0,68

t = trace

lated. Table II reports the average composition as single components and as classes of substances for the different cultivar oils analyzed. The components were reported according to their retention times on SE-52 column. The data obtained for the two samples of each cultivar were in agreement. The values obtained for each single component and, therefore for the classes of substances, were very close for each pair of samples within the same cultivar.

The laboratory-isolated oils usually have a slightly higher content of oxygenated compounds than that of industrial oils due to the different isolation processes (12). By observing the composition of each cultivar clear quantitative differences resulted. First we can observe that the different cultivars of "Femminello" had a different quantitative composition, which is moreover different from the "Monachello", "Interdonato" and "Fino" cultivars. With regard to the "Interdonato" oils, the data here obtained were in agreement with those previously obtained (13).

A first analysis of data on the class of substances showed that the monoterpenes reached a maximum value in the "Femminello Continella" (96.22%) oil and a minimum in "Femminello Incappucciato" (87.97%). This behavior was particularly due to the different limonene and γ -terpinene contents. With regard to the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, "Femminello Incappucciato" and "Interdonato" oils had values higher than 2%, while the other cultivar oils had similar values of about 1.5%. Among the oxygenated compounds, "Femminello Incappucciato" had the highest value (9.49%) while "Interdonato" (2.90%) and "Femminello Continella" (2.55%) the minimum. These differences were mainly due to carbonyl compounds whose average content varied from 7.25% for "Femminello Incappucciato" to 1.31% for "Interdonato". The alcohol and ester contents varied in a more restricted range. Alcohols varied from 0.51% for "Femminello Continella" to 1.07% for "Femminello Incappucciato", while the esters ranged from 0.55% for "Femminello Continella" to 1.16% for "Femminello Incappucciato". Among the carbonyl compounds, the values obtained for the oils analyzed mainly regarded the content of neral and geranial; these two components named citral characterize the olfactive notes of lemon oil giving it a particular fragrance; their content determines the quality and the commercial value of a lemon oil. The maximum total value

of neral and geranial was reached in the oils of "Femminello Incappucciato" (6.63%) and "Femminello S. Teresa" (4.55%) which can be considered the more interesting oils.

In conclusion, because of the noticeable cultivar influence on the lemon oil composition, in order to improve the quality of Italian lemon oil, it would be of great help if only some selected cultivars were industrially processed.

References

1. P. Damigella and G. Continella, Il Miglioramento Genetico Del Limone. Osservazioni comparative su alcune selezioni clonali. *Tecnica agricola* **6** (1970).
2. G. Cutulli, E. Di Martino, V. Lo Giudice, L. Pennisi, G. Raciti, F. Russo, A. Scuderi and P. Spina, *Trattato di Agrumicoltura*, Edagricole, Bologna, Italy (1985).
3. L. Pennisi and A. Di Giacomo, Contributo alla conoscenza delle essenze di limone di alcune cultivar italiane. *Rivista Ital. EPPOS*, **47**, 370-377 (1965).
4. A. Di Giacomo, L. Pennisi, G. Raciti, Resa e qualità degli oli essenziali del limone "Femminello Comune" in relazione all' ambiente di coltivazione e allo stato nutritivo delle piante. *Industria conserve*, **44**, 110-116 (1969).
5. M. A. Germanà, F. De Pasquale, E. Bazan and E. Palazzolo, Ricerche sugli oli essenziali del flavedo di 44 cloni di limone, *Essenz. Deriv. Agrum.*, **57**, 421-455 (1987).
6. M. Usai, V. Picci and G. Arras, Influence of the cultivar on the composition of lemon peel oil. *J. Essent. Oil Res.*, **8**, 148-158 (1996).
7. F. Gazea, I. Calvarano, M. Calvarano, G. Russo, and A. Starrantino, The essential oil of the lemon cultivar "Femminello Comune" NUC 46-451 and its two autotetraploids, *Essenz. Deriv. Agrum.*, **66**, 321-328 (1996).
8. A. Verzera, A. Trozzi, G. Licandro and S. Scuderi, Sulla genuinità delle essenze agrumarie. Nota LVI. La composizione dell'olio essenziale di limone FMC prodotto nella stagione 1995. *Essenz. Deriv. Agrum.*, **66**, 353-367 (1996).
9. A. Cotroneo, A. Verzera, G. Lamonica, G. Dugo and G. Licandro, On the genuineness of citrus essential oils. Part X. Research on the composition of essential oils produced from Sicilian lemons using "Pelatrice" and "Stumatrice" extractors during the entire 1983/84 production season. *Flav. Fragr. J.*, **1**, 69-86 (1986).
10. A. Verzera, P. Dugo, L. Mondello and A. Trozzi, Extraction technology and lemon oil composition. *Italian Food Sci.* **11**, 361-370 (1999).
11. R. Adams, *Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy*, Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream, IL, USA (1995).
12. A. Cotroneo, G. Dugo, A. Verzera, Sulla genuinità delle essenze agrumarie. Nota XVI. Differenze quantitative nella composizione di essenze di limone estratte a macchina e di essenze estratte manualmente senza uso di acqua. *Essenz. Deriv. Agrum.*, **56**, 220-235 (1986).
13. A. Cotroneo, A. Verzera, F. Salvo, G. Dugo, Sulla genuinità delle essenze agrumarie. Nota VII. Caratterizzazione delle essenze di limone "Interdonato". *Essenz. Deriv. Agrum.*, **54**, 49-61 (1984).